

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,550	04/14/2004	Mark E. Pecen	CS24618RA	. 6417
20280 MOTOROLA I	7590 06/04/2007		EXAMINER	
600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45			LU, ZHIYU	
ROOM AS437 LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/824,550	PECEN ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
,	Lu Zhiyu	2618	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief F	Request for Review filed 3/9/07.	
 Improper Request – The Reques reason(s): 	et is improper and a conference will not be held for the following	
☐ The request does not include re	een filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. easons why a review is appropriate. ded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.	
	ntinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or fro ication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.	mc
held. The application remains under app is required to submit an appeal brief in a brief will be reset to be one month from running from the receipt of the notice of	peals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has be peal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicaccordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appealing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt of	cant beat od of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration. 		
	rence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by	:
4. Reopen Prosecution – A confere action will be mailed. No further action is	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office is required by applicant at this time.	9
All participants:	EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA	
(1) <u>Lu Zhiyu</u> .	(3) <u>Edward Urban</u> . TECHNOLOGY CENTER 20	
(2) <u>Tommy Chin</u> .	(4)	